North Bay Water Reuse Authority Technical Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes March 28, 2016

1. Call to Order and Self Introductions

Chair Healy called the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. on Monday, March 28, 2016. The meeting was held at Novato City Hall Council Chambers, 901 Sherman Avenue, Novato, CA. Those not available to attend in person, participated via telephone, 1 (602) 567-4030, passcode 1980; https://conferencing.brwncald.com/conference/1980.

Committee Members Present

	Tim Healy, Chair	Napa Sanitation District
	Kevin Booker	Sonoma County Water Agency
	Robin Gordon	Data Instincts
	Sandeep Karkal	Novato Sanitary District
	Pam Jeane	Sonoma Valley County Sanitation District
	Liz Lewis	Marin County
	Susan McGuire	Las Gallinas Valley Sanitary District
	Drew McIntyre	North Marin Water District
	Phil Miller	Napa County
	Paul Sellier	Marin Municipal Water District
	Leah Walker	City of Petaluma
		-

Others Present

Chuck Weir, Program Manager Ginger Bryant Lisa Chamberlain Mike Savage Jeff Tucker Weir Technical Services Bryant & Associates Brown and Caldwell Brown and Caldwell Napa Sanitation District

2. Approval of the Agenda

The Agenda was unanimously approved.

3. Public Comments

There were no public comments.

4. Consent Calendar

4.a March 21, 2016 TAC Meeting Minutes

The March 21, 2016 Meeting Minutes were unanimously approved as presented.

5. Report from the Program Manager

The Report from the Program Manager included the following items:

5.a Action Items from the March 21, 2016 Meeting

The TAC reviewed the Action Item List and noted that all items were current.

6. Recap Board Meeting

There were no action items from the Board meeting. A budget will be presented to the Board at the April 25, 2016 meeting.

7. Recommend Board Approval of the FY2016/17 Budget as Part of the three-Year FY2014/15, FY2015/16, and FY2016/17 Budget

The TAC reviewed the previously presented three-year budget and noted that the Board has been approving funding for each fiscal year. Funding for FY2014/15 was approved on May 19, 2014 and funding for FY2015/16 was approved on April 27, 2015. Following discussion, the TAC took the following action: recommend that the Board approve the previously presented budget and member agency cost sharing for FY2016/17 under the following conditions:

- a. An amended two-year budget for FY2016/17 and FY2017/18 will be presented to the Board for approval at the October 24, 2016 Board meeting.
- b. Environmental work will focus on the highest priority projects that are certain to continue as part of Phase 2. A list of those projects will be included with the budget.
- c. The level of effort for Bryant and Associates includes increased monthly fees for The Ferguson Group and The Oñate Group.
- d. Sonoma County Water Agency will check to see if 50% of the member agency's cost sharing can be billed for FY2016/17 with the understanding that the remainder will be billed once the amended two-year budget is approved.

8. Phase 2 Cost Sharing Options

The TAC discussed Phase 2 cost sharing options as presented in the packet. There was general agreement that it was fair and reasonable to share Phase 2 costs on the basis of joint use (equal shares), number of projects in the Feasibility Study, project costs in the environmental analysis, and number of projects in the environmental analysis. Each cost sharing method is applicable to specific Phase 2 costs as discussed. As an action item, the TAC agreed to continue discussion at the next meeting and that the Program Manager would provide an additional cost sharing scenario based on the discussion.

9. Items from Committee, Agency, Staff, or Consultants

There were no additional items.

10. Items for Next Agenda, April 25, 2016 at Novato city Hall Council Chambers

Action items included the following:

- 1. Board approval of the previously presented FY2016/17 budget and member agency cost sharing under the conditions described.
- 2. Continue the discussion of Phase 2 cost sharing options and include the addition of an option where the environmental analysis is shared on the basis of Phase 2 project costs receiving the environmental analysis and costs for grant related services are shared on the prorated basis of number of Phase 2 projects in that process.

There being no further business, Chair Healy adjourned the meeting at 11:55 a.m.

 $C: \label{eq:linear} C: \lab$